

# Cooper & Kirk

Lawyers

A Professional Limited Liability Company

Charles J. Cooper  
ccooper@cooperkirk.com

1523 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20036

(202) 220-9600  
Fax (202) 220-9601

March 22, 2022

**Via ECF**

Honorable Loretta A. Preska  
United States District Court  
Southern District of New York  
500 Pearl Street  
New York, NY 10007-1312

Defendant shall respond to Plaintiff's proposal by tomorrow, March 23, 2022, at 3 p.m. The parties shall confer as to whether Plaintiff's deposition may be taken as previously scheduled.

SO ORDERED.



Re: ***Giuffre v. Dershowitz*, Case No.: 19-cv-03377-LAP**

3/22/2022

Plaintiff's Letter Response re: Netflix Nonparties' Motion for Protective Order

Dear Judge Preska:

Plaintiff has learned from the docket for *Dershowitz v. Netflix, Inc.*, Case No. 1:21-cv-21961-CMA (S.D. Fla.) (the "*Netflix Action*"), that Defendant and the Netflix Nonparties<sup>1</sup> have dismissed with prejudice their respective claims against one another. *See* Stipulation of Dismissal, *Netflix Action*, Doc. 96 (Mar. 14, 2022), attached hereto as Exhibit A. The protective order in that action requires Defendant to return or destroy all "Protected Material" covered by that order within 60 days after the final disposition of the action. *See* Stipulated Protective Order in *Netflix Action*, Doc. 414-1, at 17 (Nov. 18, 2021). Defendant has represented to the Court that neither Defendant "nor his counsel in this action have seen any of the Netflix Nonparties' confidential documents and will not unless they are ultimately produced to Plaintiff in this action," and, moreover, that they do not "have any knowledge regarding the content of the documents beyond what the Netflix Nonparties have disclosed to both sides." Def's Letter Opp'n to Pl's Mot. to Reschedule Dep., Doc. 441, at 2 (Mar. 17, 2022).

In order to avoid further burdening the Court with the matter of the Netflix Nonparties' confidential documents, Plaintiff is willing to withdraw her request that Defendant produce the Netflix Nonparties' confidential documents<sup>2</sup> on the following conditions:

1. Defendant and his counsel affirm on the record that neither has seen nor listened to nor reviewed in any way any document that the Netflix Nonparties produced in the

---

<sup>1</sup> Netflix, Inc.; Leroy & Morton Productions LLC; RadicalMedia LLC; Lisa Bryant; Joseph Berlinger.

<sup>2</sup> Here, we refer to documents that the Netflix Nonparties have produced to Defendant with a "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential" designation under the protective order in the *Netflix Action*. Plaintiff maintains her request for non-confidential documents the Netflix Nonparties produced to Defendant in the *Netflix Action*, unless Defendant can make similar representations that he has not reviewed them, will not review them, and will destroy or return them.

Hon. Loretta A. Preska

March 22, 2022

Page 2

*Netflix* action with a “Confidential” or “Highly Confidential” designation, whether those “documents” take the form of video footage, audio recordings, electronic messages, or otherwise.

2. Defendant and his counsel affirm on the record that they will not review in any way the aforementioned documents and that Defendant will promptly destroy them or return them to the Netflix Nonparties.
3. Defendant and his counsel affirm on the record that neither has received any knowledge of the contents of the aforementioned documents from any source, including Defendant’s counsel in the *Netflix* action, apart from the information that the Netflix Nonparties have disclosed to Plaintiff, and that if they obtain additional information about the documents’ contents from any source, they will promptly disclose that information to counsel for Plaintiff.

If Defendant and his counsel refrain from reviewing the documents and from otherwise receiving any knowledge of the contents of the documents, then the parties to this action will be returned to the position they were in before Defendant sued the Netflix Nonparties. Although Plaintiff maintains that these documents are centrally relevant, she is willing to forego them provided that she is on an equal footing with Defendant.

If Defendant is unable or unwilling to make the foregoing representations and commitments, then Plaintiff maintains her demand for the documents and will timely file her brief in opposition tomorrow.

Respectfully submitted,

s/Charles J. Cooper  
Charles J. Cooper

CC: Counsel of Record (via ECF)